

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,769	11/15/2001	Allen J. Nejezchleb	SAIC0020-CON 7954	
7:	590 07/27/200	3	EXAMINER	
George T. Ma		MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
Kilpatrick Stockton LLP Suite 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
607 14th Street	,	1753		
Washington, DC 20005			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Community	09/987,769	NEJEZCHLEB ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kishor Mayekar	1753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 h	<u>1ay 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-11,13-17 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>8-11, 13-17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	· er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	. •			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)	, <b>.</b>	(DTO 446)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)				
	tion Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20050721			

### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 8-11, 13-17 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers et al. (US 6,139,694) in view of either Alix et al. (US 6,117,403) or Ruan et al. (US 6,565,716), for reasons as of record.

#### Double Patenting

2. Claims 8-11, 13-17 and 19 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2, 3 and 5-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,309,610, for the same reasons as of record.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed May 3, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that the combination of Rogers with one of Alix or Ruan does not render obvious the claimed subject matter, as the claimed invention has unexpected results, the examiner finds this is to be

Art Unit: 1753

unpersuasive. Since Rogers discloses in col. 4, lines 30-36 that Teflon and Teflon PFA may be particularly suited for use as a dielectric and are available from Toefco Engineering, Inc., Niles, Michigan, Rogers contemplates the use of Teflon fluorocarbon as the preferred embodiment (emphasis added). And since the Teflon fluorocarbon is particularly used in Rogers, the Teflon fluorocarbon inherently possesses the recited dielectric strength, and hence the unexpected results therefrom even not showed.

To the argument to the rejection of claims under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, since the patent claims recite the use of a fluoropolymeric substance to cover an electrode, the substance being a dielectric (see abstract) and having a dielectric strength of 60 kV/mm (col. 7, line 18), since the court in Vogel has pointed out that "this portion of the specification supports the patent claims and may be considered", and since the substance is routinely used in the art, the rejection stands.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1753

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,769

Art Unit: 1753

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753